UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

ELMER MCGEE,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 3:20-cv-529

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227

CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Elmer McGee ("Plaintiff"), through his attorneys, alleges the following against Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based upon the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227. The TCPA is a federal statute that broadly regulates the use of automated telephone equipment. Among other things, the TCPA prohibits certain unsolicited marketing calls, restricts the use of automatic dialers or prerecorded messages, and delegates rulemaking authority to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").
- 2. The Plaintiff suffered very harms that the TCPA was created to address, including disturbing his quiet enjoyment, peace and tranquility, creating a nuisance, invading his privacy-intrusion upon seclusion, as derived from § 652B of the

Restatement (Second) of Torts. § 652B prohibits an intentional intrusion, "physically or otherwise, upon the solitude or seclusion of another or his private affairs or concerns... that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Subject matter jurisdiction of the Court arises under 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. and 28 U.S.C. 1331.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(1) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and Division.
- 5. Defendants are at home and transact business in this District and Division, thus personal jurisdiction is established.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the State of Illinois.
- 7. Defendant is a banking institution engaged in the business of issuing credit cards, with a principal place of business located in Richmond, Virginia, and physical locations throughout Virginia. Defendant can be served with process at its registered agent Corporation Service Company, 100 Shockoe Slip, Fl. 2, Richmond, VA 22219.

//

//

8. Defendants acted through their agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. In 2017, Plaintiff, already in debt from a bitter divorce in 2012, lost his job, forcing him to find new employment with lower pay.
- 10. Over the course of the next couple of years, Plaintiff struggled to make ends meet and in 2019, he had to choose between making payments on Capital One accounts or pay for his household necessities.
- 11. As a result of his financial struggles, Plaintiff was unable to keep up with all his monthly obligations, specifically his Capital One account.
- 12. Upon information and belief, after Plaintiff fell behind on his Capital One account, his interest rate increased and late payments were added to his account balance, making it even more difficult to make the monthly payment.
- 13. In or around October of 2019, Defendant began calling Plaintiff's cellular phone number ending in 9705, in an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
- 14. The calls placed by Defendant originated from the following numbers: (800) 365-2024 and (800) 955-6600.
- 15. On or about October 9, 2019 at 8:28 a.m., Plaintiff answered a collection call from Defendant; Plaintiff heard a pause before the collection agent

began to speak, indicating the use of an automated telephone dialing system; Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's representative from telephone number (800) 365-2024.

- 16. Defendant informed Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt relating to his Capital One account.
- 17. Plaintiff unequivocally revoked consent to be called any further.

 Despite Plaintiff's request not to be contacted, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff.
- 18. On or about October 11, 2019 at 10:45 a.m., Plaintiff answered a collection call from Defendant; Plaintiff heard a pause before the collection agent began to speak, indicating the use of an automated telephone dialing system; Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's representative from telephone number (800) 365-2024.
- 19. Defendant informed Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt relating to his Capital One account.
- 20. Plaintiff unequivocally revoked consent to be called any further.

 Despite Plaintiff's second request not to be contacted, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff.
- 21. On or about October 31, 2019 at 10:59 a.m., Plaintiff answered a collection call from Defendant; Plaintiff heard a pause before the collection agent began to speak, indicating the use of an automated telephone dialing system;

Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's representative from telephone number (800) 365-2024.

- 22. Defendant informed Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt relating to his Capital One account.
- 23. Plaintiff unequivocally revoked consent to be called any further.

 Despite Plaintiff's third request not to be contacted, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff.
- 24. Defendant's incessant calls continued nearly every day until March 30,2020.
- 25. Between October 9, 2019 and March 30, 2020, Defendant called Plaintiff no less than two hundred and seventy (270) times.
 - 26. Defendant called Plaintiff between one (1) and three (3) times a day.
- 27. Many times, when Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, there was a pre-recorded message or no person on the phone.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant would call Plaintiff's family and/or friends to embarrass Plaintiff and induce him to make a payment on the Account.
- 29. Defendant's daily calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone were a constant reminder of his financial situation, exacerbating Plaintiff's stress and anxiety.

//

30. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages including but not limited to, emotional and mental pain and anguish.

COUNT I Defendant's Violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227

- 31. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one (1) through thirty (30) as though set forth at length herein.
- 32. Defendant violated the TCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions, Defendants violated TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii) which states in pertinent part, "It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States . . . to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a . . . cellular telephone service . . . or any service for which the called party is charged for the call.
 - b. Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions, Defendant willfully and/or knowingly contacted Plaintiff at Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an artificial prerecorded voice or an automatic telephone dialing system and as such, Defendant knowing and/or willfully violated the TCPA.

- 33. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B). If the Court finds that Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00), for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C)
- 34. Defendant violated Plaintiff's privacy. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Defendant intentionally intruded, physically or otherwise, upon Plaintiff's solitude and seclusion by engaging in harassing phone calls in an attempt to collect on an alleged debt despite requests for the calls to cease.
 - b. Defendant's conduct would be highly offensive to a reasonable person as Plaintiff received calls that interrupted Plaintiff's work and sleep schedule.
 - c. Defendant's acts, as described above, were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 35. As a result of Defendant's violations, Plaintiff suffered invasion of his privacy, intrusion upon his seclusion, his right to quiet enjoyment and peace was disturbed.
- 36. Plaintiff suffered emotional distress, mental and physical injuries as a result of emotional distress caused by Defendant's incessant harassment by

unwanted, auto-dialed telephone calls against Plaintiff's express revocation of

consent and instructions to not call.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elmer McGee, respectfully requests judgment be entered

against Defendant Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., for the following:

A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant violated the TCPA;

B. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. §

227(b)(3)(C);

C. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be

allowed under the law;

D. Any other relief that the Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Date: July 8, 2020 By: /s/ Susan Rotkis

Susan Rotkis AZ Bar # 032866 PRICE LAW GROUP

382 S. Convent Ave.

Tucson, AZ 85716

T: (818) 600-5506

E: susan@pricelawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Elmer McGee

- 8 -